### Rubric for Short Stories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Barney</th>
<th><strong>Exceeds Expectations</strong> (10/9)</th>
<th><strong>Fully Meets Expectations</strong> (8.5/8/7.5)</th>
<th><strong>Meets Expectations</strong> (7/6.5)</th>
<th><strong>Minimally Meets Expectations 6/5.5</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Literary Devices Organizer** | -answers are mostly correct and insightful  
-answers have strong evidence for support (may be incorrect but reasonable argument) | -answers are mostly correct  
-some evidence to support answers-may be right or wrong but reasonable | -some answers correct but many incorrect  
-little evidence to support answer | -too many answers incorrect  
-little to no evidence to support answer  
-possible lack of effort |
| **Prewriting and Postwriting** | -Insightful, interesting and relevant  
-uses strong vocabulary and a mix of sentence types | -answers questions with some insight  
-easy to read with few errors | -answers question but briefly  
-writing could use more “thinking” or effort | -writing is short and brief  
-could use more “thinking” or effort |
| **Questions** | -answers are all correct  
-insight and “thinking” is obvious | -answers are all correct | -most answers correct but could use more thought. | -some answers correct  
-more effort and thought needed |
| **Reading Strategy** | -visualization  
-questioning  
-inferring  
-connecting  
-evidence of deep thinking while reading  
-works shows engagement in text | -evidence of thinking while reading  
-engaged in text | -some evidence of thinking while reading  
-some engagement in text | -some evidence of thinking while reading but could do more  
-some or little engagement in text |

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The Friday Everything Changed</th>
<th><strong>Exceeds Expectations</strong> (10/9)</th>
<th><strong>Fully Meets Expectations</strong> (8.5/8/7.5)</th>
<th><strong>Meets Expectations</strong> (7/6.5)</th>
<th><strong>Minimally Meets Expectations 6/5.5</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Literary Devices Organizer** | -answers are mostly correct and insightful  
-answers have strong evidence for support (may be incorrect but reasonable argument) | -answers are mostly correct  
-some evidence to support answers-may be right or wrong but reasonable | -some answers correct but many incorrect  
-little evidence to support answer | -too many answers incorrect  
-little to no evidence to support answer  
-possible lack of effort |
| **Prewriting and Postwriting** | -Insightful, interesting and relevant  
-uses strong vocabulary and a mix of sentence types | -answers questions with some insight  
-easy to read with few errors | -answers question but briefly  
-writing could use more “thinking” or effort | -writing is short and brief  
-could use more “thinking” or effort |
| **Questions** | -answers are all correct  
-insight and “thinking” is obvious | -answers are all correct | -most answers correct but could use more thought. | -some answers correct  
-more effort and thought needed |
| **Reading Strategy** | -visualization  
-questioning  
-inferring  
-connecting  
-evidence of deep thinking while reading  
-works shows engagement in text | -evidence of thinking while reading  
-engaged in text | -some evidence of thinking while reading  
-some engagement in text | -some evidence of thinking while reading but could do more  
-some or little engagement in text |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The Veldt</th>
<th><strong>Exceeds Expectations</strong> 10/9</th>
<th><strong>Fully Meets Expectations</strong> 8.5/8/7.5</th>
<th><strong>Meets Expectations</strong> 7/6.5</th>
<th><strong>Minimally Meets Expectations</strong> 6/5.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Literary Devices Organizer | - answers are mostly correct and insightful  
- answers have strong evidence for support (may be incorrect but reasonable argument) | - answers are mostly correct  
- some evidence to support answers—may be right or wrong but reasonable | - some answers correct but many incorrect  
- little evidence to support answer | - too many answers incorrect  
- little to no evidence to support answer  
- possible lack of effort |
| Prewriting and Postwriting | - Insightful, interesting and relevant  
- uses strong vocabulary and a mix of sentence types | - answers questions with some insight  
- easy to read with few errors | - answers question but briefly  
- writing could use more “thinking” or effort | - writing is short and brief  
- could use more “thinking” or effort |
| Questions | - answers are all correct  
- insight and “thinking” is obvious | - answers are all correct | - most answers correct but could use more thought. | - some answers correct  
- more effort and thought needed |
| Reading Strategy  
- visualization  
- questioning  
- inferring  
- connecting | - evidence of deep thinking while reading  
- works shows engagement in text | - evidence of thinking while reading  
- engaged in text | - some evidence of thinking while reading  
- some engagement in text | - some evidence of thinking while reading but could do more  
- some or little engagement in text |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The Sea Devil</th>
<th><strong>Exceeds Expectations</strong> 10/9</th>
<th><strong>Fully Meets Expectations</strong> 8.5/8/7.5</th>
<th><strong>Meets Expectations</strong> 7/6.5</th>
<th><strong>Minimally Meets Expectations</strong> 6/5.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Literary Devices Organizer | - answers are mostly correct and insightful  
- answers have strong evidence for support (may be incorrect but reasonable argument) | - answers are mostly correct  
- some evidence to support answers—may be right or wrong but reasonable | - some answers correct but many incorrect  
- little evidence to support answer | - too many answers incorrect  
- little to no evidence to support answer  
- possible lack of effort |
| Prewriting and Postwriting | - Insightful, interesting and relevant  
- uses strong vocabulary and a mix of sentence types | - answers questions with some insight  
- easy to read with few errors | - answers question but briefly  
- writing could use more “thinking” or effort | - writing is short and brief  
- could use more “thinking” or effort |
| Questions | - answers are all correct  
- insight and “thinking” is obvious | - answers are all correct | - most answers correct but could use more thought. | - some answers correct  
- more effort and thought needed |
| Reading Strategy  
- visualization  
- questioning  
- inferring  
- connecting | - evidence of deep thinking while reading  
- works shows engagement in text | - evidence of thinking while reading  
- engaged in text | - some evidence of thinking while reading  
- some engagement in text | - some evidence of thinking while reading but could do more  
- some or little engagement in text |